

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,262	12/20/2005	David Rubinsztein	BJS-620-394	1781
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 04/23/201 NDERHYE, PC	EXAM	EXAMINER	
901 NORTH C	ELEBE ROAD, 11TH F	ZAREK, PAUL E		
ARLINGTON.	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1628		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/553,262	RUBINSZTEIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Paul Zarek	1628	

	Paul Zarek	1628						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 14 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AI	LLOWANCE.						
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this ilication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.131; or (3) a Request Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time odds:							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: It box 1s checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW. MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MEPP 760.67(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee hourser 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was compared.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul> </li> </ol>								
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reie	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1: 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	Claims 41 and 43-51 under 35 US	SC 112 1 <sup>st</sup> paragraph.	,					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claims (s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) rejected: 41 and 43-51. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13.  Other:								
/PEZ/	/San-ming Hui/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1628						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Proposed amendments to specify a patient population do not necessarily remove Lin, et al., as prior art and, if it does, the instant specification does not explicitly define who is at risk, thereby raising the issue of new matter. To the extent that Applicants' amendments overcome the standing 102(b) rejection over Lin, et al., and are supported by the specification, the amended claims would require a new search.